

Derivative Formula and Harnack Inequality for Degenerate Functional SDEs*

Jianhai Bao^{b)}, Feng-Yu Wang^{a),b)}, Chenggui Yuan^{b)}

^{a)}School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

^{b)}Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK

wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.-Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk, C.Yuan@swansea.ac.uk

September 20, 2011

Abstract

By constructing successful couplings, the derivative formula, gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities are established for the semigroup associated with a class of degenerate functional stochastic differential equations.

AMS subject Classification: 60H10, 47G20.

Keywords: Coupling, derivative formula, gradient estimate, Harnack inequality, functional stochastic differential equation.

1 Introduction

In recent years, the coupling argument developed in [1] for establishing dimension-free Harnack inequality in the sense of [13] has been intensively applied to the study of Markov semigroups associated with a number of stochastic (partial) differential equations, see e.g. [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22] and references within. In particular, the Harnack inequalities have been established in [4, 19] for a class of non-degenerate functional stochastic differential equations (SDEs), while the (Bismut-Elworthy-Li type) derivative formula and applications have been investigated in [5] for a class of degenerate SDEs (see also [21, 23] for the study by using Malliavin calculus). The aim of this paper is to establish the derivative formula and (log-)Harnack inequalities for degenerate functional SDEs. The derivative formula implies explicit gradient estimates of the associated semigroup, while a number of

*Supported in part by SRFDP and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

applications of the (log-)Harnack inequalities have been summarized in [17, §4.2] on heat kernel estimates, entropy-cost inequalities, characterizations of invariant measures and contractivity properties of the semigroup.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\mathbb{R}^{m+d} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\mathbb{R}^m = \{0\}$ when $m = 0$. For $r_0 > 0$, let $\mathcal{C} := C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^{m+d})$ be the space of continuous functions from $[-r_0, 0]$ into \mathbb{R}^{m+d} , which is a Banach space with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Consider the following functional SDE on \mathbb{R}^{m+d} :

$$\boxed{\text{E1}} \quad (1.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = \{AX(t) + MY(t)\}dt, \\ dY(t) = \{Z(X(t), Y(t)) + b(X_t, Y_t)\}dt + \sigma dB(t), \end{cases}$$

where $B(t)$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion, σ is an invertible $d \times d$ -matrix, A is an $m \times m$ -matrix, M is an $m \times d$ -matrix, $Z : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are locally Lipschitz continuous (i.e. Lipschitzian on compact sets), $(X_t, Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a process on \mathcal{C} with $(X_t, Y_t)(\theta) := (X(t + \theta), Y(t + \theta))$, $\theta \in [-r_0, 0]$. We assume that there exists an integer number $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$ such that

$$\boxed{\text{RR}} \quad (1.2) \quad \text{Rank}[M, AM, \dots, A^k M] = m.$$

When $m = 0$ this condition automatically holds by convention. Note that when $m \geq 1$, this rank condition holds for some $k > m - 1$ if and only if it holds for $k = m - 1$.

Let $\nabla, \nabla^{(1)}$ and $\nabla^{(2)}$ denote the gradient operators on $\mathbb{R}^{m+d}, \mathbb{R}^m$ and \mathbb{R}^d respectively, and let

$$\begin{aligned} Lf(x, y) &:= \langle Ax + My, \nabla^{(1)} f(x, y) \rangle + \langle Z(x, y), \nabla^{(2)} f(x, y) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma \sigma^*)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} f(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}, f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}). \end{aligned}$$

Since both Z and b are locally Lipschitz continuous, due to [12] the equation (1.1) has a unique local solution for any initial data $(X_0, Y_0) \in \mathcal{C}$. To ensure the non-explosion and further regular properties of the solution, we make use of the following assumptions:

(A) *There exist constants $\lambda, l > 0$ and $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$ of compact level sets with $W \geq 1$ such that*

$$(A1) \quad LW \leq \lambda W, \quad |\nabla^{(2)} W| \leq \lambda W;$$

$$(A2) \quad \langle b(\xi), \nabla^{(2)} W(\xi(0)) \rangle \leq \lambda \|W(\xi)\|_\infty, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C};$$

$$(A3) \quad |Z(z) - Z(z')| \leq \lambda |z - z'| W(z')^l, \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}, |z - z'| \leq 1;$$

$$(A4) \quad |b(\xi) - b(\xi')| \leq \lambda \|\xi - \xi'\|_\infty \|W(\xi')\|_\infty^l, \quad \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}, \|\xi - \xi'\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Comparing with the framework investigated in [5, 23], where $b = 0$, $A = 0$ and $\text{Rank}[M] = m$ are assumed, the present model is more general and the segment process we are going to investigate is an infinite-dimensional Markov process. On the other hand, unlike in [5] where the condition $|\nabla^{(2)}W| \leq \lambda W$ is not used, in the present setting this condition seems essential in order to derive moment estimates of the segment process (see the proof of Lemma 2.1 below). Moreover, if $|\nabla W| \leq cW$ holds for some constant $c > 0$, then (A3) and (A4) hold for some $\lambda > 0$ if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda' > 0$ such that $|\nabla Z| \leq \lambda' W^l$ and $|\nabla b| \leq \lambda' \|W\|_\infty^l$ holds on \mathbb{R}^{m+d} and \mathcal{C} respectively.

It is easy to see that **(A)** holds for $W(z) = 1 + |z|^2$, $l = 1$ and some constant $\lambda > 0$ provided that Z and b are globally Lipschitz continuous on \mathbb{R}^{m+d} and \mathcal{C} respectively. It is clear that (A1) and (A2) imply the non-explosion of the solution (see Lemma 2.1 below). In this paper we aim to investigate regularity properties of the Markov semigroup associated with the segment process:

$$P_t f(\xi) = \mathbb{E}^\xi f(X_t, Y_t), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C}), \xi \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$ is the class of all bounded measurable functions on \mathcal{C} and \mathbb{E}^ξ stands for the expectation for the solution starting at the point $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$. When $m = 0$ we have $X_t \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{C} = \{0\} \times \mathcal{C}_2 \equiv \mathcal{C}_2 := C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, so that $P_t f$ can be simply formulated as $P_t f(\xi) = \mathbb{E}^\xi f(Y_t)$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C}_2)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Thus, (1.1) also includes non-degenerate functional SDEs. For any $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, let ∇_h and ∇_z be the directional derivatives along h and z respectively. The following result provides an explicit derivative formula for P_T , $T > r_0$.

T1.1 **Theorem 1.1.** *Assume **(A)** and let $T > r_0$. Let $v : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be Lipschitz continuous such that $v(0) = 1$, $\alpha(0) = 0$, $v(s) = 0$, $\alpha(s) = 0$ for $s \geq T - r_0$, and*

$$\text{LL} \quad (1.3) \quad h_1(0) + \int_0^t e^{-sA} M \phi(s) ds = 0, \quad t \geq T - r_0,$$

where $\phi(s) := v(s)h_2(0) + \alpha(s)$. Then for any $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$,

$$\text{Bis} \quad (1.4) \quad \nabla_h P_T f(\xi) = \mathbb{E}^\xi \left\{ f(X_T, Y_T) \int_0^T \langle N(s), (\sigma^*)^{-1} dB(s) \rangle \right\}, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}$$

holds for

$$N(s) := (\nabla_{\Theta(s)} Z)(X(s), Y(s)) + (\nabla_{\Theta(s)} b)(X_s, Y_s) - v'(s)h_2(0) - \alpha'(s), \quad s \in [0, T],$$

where

$$\Theta(s) = (\Theta^{(1)}(s), \Theta^{(2)}(s)) := \begin{cases} h(s), & \text{if } s \leq 0, \\ (e^{As}h_1(0) + \int_0^s e^{(s-r)A} M \phi(r) dr, \phi(s)), & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

A simple choice of v is

$$v(s) = \frac{(T - r_0 - s)^+}{T - r_0}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

To present a specific choice of α , let

$$Q_t := \int_0^t \frac{s(T - r_0 - s)^+}{(T - r_0)^2} e^{-sA} M M^* e^{-sA^*} ds, \quad t > 0.$$

According to [11] (see also [21, Proof of Theorem 4.2(1)]), when $m \geq 1$ the matrix Q_t is invertible with

$$\boxed{\text{QQ}} \quad (1.5) \quad \|Q_t^{-1}\| \leq c(T - r_0)(t \wedge 1)^{-2(k+1)}, \quad t > 0$$

for some constant $c > 0$.

C1.2 **Corollary 1.2.** *Assume (A) and let $T > r_0$. Then (1.4) holds for $v(s) = \frac{(T-r_0-s)^+}{T-r_0}$ and*

$$\alpha(s) = -\frac{s(T - r_0 - s)^+}{(T - r_0)^2} M^* e^{-sA^*} Q_{T-r_0}^{-1} \left(h_1(0) + \int_0^{T-r_0} \frac{(T - r_0 - r)^+}{T - r_0} e^{-rA} M h_2(0) dr \right),$$

where by convention $M = 0$ (hence, $\alpha = 0$) if $m = 0$.

The following gradient estimates are direct consequences of Theorem 1.1.

C1.3 **Corollary 1.3.** *Assume (A). Then:*

(1) *There exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left\{ |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1} \wedge 1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|W(\xi)\|_\infty^l \sqrt{T \wedge (1 + r_0)} \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1} \wedge 1} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $T > r_0, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$;

(2) *Let $|\nabla^{(2)} W|^2 \leq \delta W$ hold for some constant $\delta > 0$. If $l \in [0, 1/2)$ then there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq r \{ P_T f \log f - (P_T f) \log P_T f \}(\xi) \\ &\quad + \frac{C P_T f(\xi)}{r} \left\{ |h(0)|^2 \left(\frac{1}{(T - r_0) \wedge 1} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|h\|_\infty^2 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty + \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{|h(0)|^2 \|M\|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-2l}} \left(\frac{r^2}{\|h\|_\infty^2} \vee 1 \right)^{\frac{2l}{1-2l}} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $r > 0, T > r_0, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$;

- (3) Let $|\nabla^{(2)}W|^2 \leq \delta W$ hold for some constant $\delta > 0$. If $l = \frac{1}{2}$ then there exist constants $C, C' \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq r \{ P_T f \log f - (P_T f) \log P_T f \}(\xi) \\ &\quad + \frac{C P_T f(\xi)}{r} \left\{ |h(0)|^2 \left(\frac{1}{(T - r_0) \wedge 1} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|W(\xi)\|_\infty \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+2}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

holds for

$$r \geq C' \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{2k+1}} \right),$$

all $T > r_0, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$.

When $m = 0$ the above assertions hold with $\|M\| = 0$.

According to [2], the entropy gradient estimate implies the Harnack inequality with power, we have the following result which follows immediately from Corollary 1.3 (2) and [5, Proposition 4.1]. Similarly, Corollary 1.3 (3) implies the same type Harnack inequality for smaller $\|h\|_\infty$ comparing to $T - r_0$.

C1.4 **Corollary 1.4.** Assume **(A)** and let $|\nabla^{(2)}W|^2 \leq \delta W$ hold for some constant $\delta > 0$. If $l \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ then there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (P_T f)^p(\xi + h) &\leq P_T f^p(\xi) \exp \left[\frac{Cp}{p-1} \left\{ \|h\|_\infty^2 \int_0^1 \|W(\xi + sh)\|_\infty ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-2l}} \left(\frac{(p-1)^2}{\|h\|_\infty^2} \vee 1 \right)^{\frac{2l}{1-2l}} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $T > r_0, p > 1, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$. If $m = 0$ then the assertion holds for $\|M\| = 0$.

Finally, we consider the log-Harnack inequality introduced in [10, 15]. To this end, as in [5], we slightly strengthen (A3) and (A4) as for follows: there exists an increasing function U on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$(A3') \quad |Z(z) - Z(z')| \leq \lambda |z - z'| \{ W(z')^l + U(|z - z'|) \}, \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d};$$

$$(A4') \quad |b(\xi) - b(\xi')| \leq \lambda \|\xi - \xi'\|_\infty \{ \|W(\xi')\|_\infty^l + U(\|\xi - \xi'\|_\infty) \}, \quad \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Obviously, if

$$W(z)^l \leq c \{ W(z')^l + U(|z - z'|) \}, \quad z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$, then (A3) and (A4) imply (A3') and (A4') respectively with possibly different λ .

T1.5 **Theorem 1.5.** Assume (A1), (A2), (A3') and (A4'). Then there exists a constant $C \in (0, \infty)$ such that for any positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$, $T > r_0$ and $\xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$P_T \log f(\xi + h) - \log P_T f(\xi) \leq C \left\{ \left[\|W(\xi + h)\|_\infty^{2l} + U^2 \left(C \|h\|_\infty + \frac{C \|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T - r_0) \wedge 1} \right) \right] \|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{|h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0) \wedge 1} + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+3}} \right\}.$$

If $m = 0$ then the assertion holds for $\|M\| = 0$.

For applications of the Harnack and log-Harnack inequalities we are referred to [17, §4.2]. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are proved Section 2, while Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.5 are proved in Section 3; in Section 4 the assumption **(A)** is weakened for the discrete time delay case, and two examples are presented to illustrate our results.

2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

lem1 **Lemma 2.1.** Assume (A1) and (A2). Then for any $k > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \sup_{-r_0 \leq s \leq t} W(X(s), Y(s))^k \leq 3 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty^k e^{Ct}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}$$

holds. Consequently, the solution is non-explosive.

Proof. For any $n \geq 1$, let

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \in [0, T] : |X(t)| + |Y(t)| \geq n\}.$$

Moreover, let

$$\ell(s) := W(X, Y)(s), \quad s \geq -r_0.$$

By the Itô formula and using the first inequality in (A1) and (A2) we may find a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(t \wedge \tau_n)^k &= \ell(0)^k + k \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \ell(s)^{k-1} \langle \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s), \sigma dB(s) \rangle \\ &\quad + k \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \ell(s)^{k-1} \left\{ LW(X, Y)(s) + \langle b(X_s, Y_s), \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (k-1) \ell(s)^{-1} |\sigma^* \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s)|^2 \right\} ds \\ &\leq \ell(0)^k + k \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \ell(s)^{k-1} \langle \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s), \sigma dB(s) \rangle + C_1 \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n} \sup_{r \in [-r_0, s]} \ell(r)^k ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Noting that by the second inequality in (A1) and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& k\mathbb{E}^\xi \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^{s \wedge \tau_n} \ell(r)^{k-1} \langle \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s), \sigma dB(r) \rangle \right| \leq C_2 \mathbb{E}^\xi \left(\int_0^t \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^{2k} ds \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C_2 \mathbb{E}^\xi \left\{ \left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^k \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^t \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^k ds \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}^\xi \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^k + \frac{C_2^2}{2} \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t \sup_{r \in [0, s]} \ell(r \wedge \tau_n)^k ds
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$. Combining this with (2.1) and noting that $(X_0, Y_0) = \xi$, we conclude that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \sup_{-r_0 \leq s \leq t} \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^k \leq 3\|W(\xi)\|_\infty^k + C \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t \sup_{s \in [-r_0, t]} \ell(s)^k ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Due to the Gronwall lemma this implies that

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \sup_{-r_0 \leq s \leq t} \ell(s \wedge \tau_n)^k \leq 3\|W(\xi)\|_\infty^k e^{Ct}, \quad t \geq 0, n \geq 1.$$

Consequently, we have $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, and thus the desired inequality follows by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

To establish the derivative formula, we first construct couplings for solutions starting from ξ and $\xi + \varepsilon h$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, then let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For fixed $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$, $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{C}$, let $(X(t), Y(t))$ solve (1.1) with $(X_0, Y_0) = \xi$; and for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, let $(X^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t))$ solve the equation

$$\boxed{\text{E2}} \quad (2.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(t) = \{AX^\varepsilon(t) + MY^\varepsilon(t)\}dt, \\ dY^\varepsilon(t) = \{Z(X(t), Y(t)) + b(X_t, Y_t)\}dt + \sigma dB(t) + \varepsilon\{v'(t)h_2(0) + \alpha'(t)\}dt \end{cases}$$

with $(X_0^\varepsilon, Y_0^\varepsilon) = \xi + \varepsilon h$. By Lemma 2.1 and (2.3) below, the solution to (2.2) is non-explosive as well.

$\boxed{\text{Pro1}}$ **Proposition 2.2.** *Let $\phi(s) := v(s)h_2(0) + \alpha(s)$, $s \in [0, T]$, and the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold. Then*

$$\boxed{\text{EE}} \quad (2.3) \quad (X^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t)) = (X(t), Y(t)) + \varepsilon \Theta(t), \quad \varepsilon, t \geq 0$$

holds for

$$\Theta(t) := (\Theta^{(1)}(t), \Theta^{(2)}(t)) := \begin{cases} h(t), & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ (e^{At}h_1(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-r)A}M\phi(r)dr, \phi(t)), & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $(X_T^\varepsilon, Y_T^\varepsilon) = (X_T, Y_T)$.

Proof. By (2.2) and noting that $v(0) = 1$ and $v(s) = 0$ for $s \geq T - r_0$, we have $Y^\varepsilon(t) = Y(t) + \varepsilon\phi(t)$ and

$$X^\varepsilon(t) = X(t) + \varepsilon e^{At} h_1(0) + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} M \phi(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Thus, (2.3) holds. Moreover, since $\alpha(s) = v(s) = 0$ for $s \geq T - r_0$, we have $\Theta^{(2)}(s) = \phi(s) = 0$ for $s \geq T - r_0$. Moreover, by (1.3) we have $\Theta^{(1)}(s) = 0$ for $s \geq T - r_0$. Therefore, the proof is finished. \square

Since according to Proposition 2.2 we have $(X_T^\varepsilon, Y_T^\varepsilon) = (X_T, Y_T)$. Noting that $(X_0^\varepsilon, Y_0^\varepsilon) = \xi + \varepsilon h$, if (2.2) can be formulated as (1.1) using a different Brownian motion, then we are able to link $P_T f(\xi)$ to $P_T f(\xi + \varepsilon h)$ and furthermore derive the derivative formula by taking derivative w.r.t. ε at $\varepsilon = 0$. To this end, let

$$\Phi^\varepsilon(s) = Z(X(s), Y(s)) - Z(X^\varepsilon(s), Y^\varepsilon(s)) + b(X_s, Y_s) - b(X_s^\varepsilon, Y_s^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \{v'(s)h_2(0) + \alpha'(s)\}.$$

Set

$$R^\varepsilon(s) = \exp \left[- \int_0^s \langle \sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r), dB(r) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr \right],$$

and

$$B^\varepsilon(s) = B(s) + \int_0^s \sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r) dr.$$

Then (2.2) reduces to

$$\boxed{\text{E2}' } \quad (2.4) \quad \begin{cases} dX^\varepsilon(t) = \{AX^\varepsilon(t) + MY^\varepsilon(t)\}dt, \\ dY^\varepsilon(t) = \{Z(X^\varepsilon(t), Y^\varepsilon(t)) + b(X_t^\varepsilon, Y_t^\varepsilon)\}dt + \sigma dB^\varepsilon(t). \end{cases}$$

According to the Girsanov theorem, to ensure that $B^\varepsilon(t)$ is a Brownian motion under $\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon := R^\varepsilon(T)\mathbb{P}$, we first prove that $R^\varepsilon(t)$ is an exponential martingale. Moreover, to obtain the derivative formula using the dominated convergence theorem, we also need $\{\frac{R^\varepsilon(T)-1}{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon \in (0,1)}$ to be uniformly integrable. Therefore, we will need the following two lemmas.

L2.2 **Lemma 2.3.** *Let (A) hold. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T], \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)} \mathbb{E}[R^\varepsilon(s) \log R^\varepsilon(s)] < \infty,$$

so that for each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $(R^\varepsilon(s))_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a uniformly integrable martingale.

Proof. By (2.3), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\boxed{\text{ED}} \quad (2.5) \quad \varepsilon_0 |\Theta(t)| \leq 1, \quad t \in [-r_0, T].$$

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$, define

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X(t)| + |Y(t)| + |X^\varepsilon(t)| + |Y^\varepsilon(t)| \geq n\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We have $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$ due to the non-explosion. By the Girsanov theorem, the process $\{R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n)\}_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a martingale and $\{B^\varepsilon(s)\}_{s \in [0, T \wedge \tau_n]}$ is a Brownian motion under the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon, n} := R^\varepsilon(T \wedge \tau_n)\mathbb{P}$. By the definition of $R^\varepsilon(s)$ we have

$$\boxed{2.6} \quad (2.6) \quad \mathbb{E}[R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n) \log R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon, n}}[\log R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon, n}} \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_n} |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr.$$

By (2.5), (A3) and (A4),

$$\boxed{2.7} \quad (2.7) \quad |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(s)|^2 \leq c \varepsilon^2 \|W(X_s^\varepsilon, Y_s^\varepsilon)\|_\infty^{2l},$$

holds for some constant c independent of ε . By the weak uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) and (2.4), the distribution of $(X^\varepsilon(s), Y^\varepsilon(s))_{s \in [0, T \wedge \tau_n]}$ under $\mathbb{Q}_{\varepsilon, n}$ coincides with that of the solution to (1.1) with $(X_0, Y_0) = \xi + \varepsilon h$ up to time $T \wedge \tau_n$, we therefore obtain from Lemma 2.1 that

$$\mathbb{E}[R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n) \log R^\varepsilon(s \wedge \tau_n)] \leq c \|W(\xi + \varepsilon h)\|_\infty^{2l} \int_0^T e^{Ct} dt < \infty, \quad n \geq 1, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0).$$

Then the required assertion follows by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

L2.3 **Lemma 2.4.** *If (A) holds, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)} \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{R^\varepsilon(T) - 1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{R^\varepsilon(T) - 1}{\varepsilon} \right) < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\boxed{y2} \quad (2.8) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{R^\varepsilon(T) - 1}{\varepsilon} = \int_0^T \langle (\nabla_{\Theta(s)} Z)(X(s), Y(s)) + (\nabla_{\Theta_s} b)(X_s, Y_s) - v'(s)h_2(0) - \alpha'(s), (\sigma^*)^{-1} dB(s) \rangle.$$

Proof. Let ε_0 be such that (2.5) holds. Since (2.8) is a direct consequence of (2.3) and the definition of $R^\varepsilon(T)$, we only prove the first assertion. By [5] we know that

$$\frac{R^\varepsilon(T) - 1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{R^\varepsilon(T) - 1}{\varepsilon} \leq 2R^\varepsilon(T) \left(\frac{\log R^\varepsilon(T)}{\varepsilon} \right)^2.$$

Since due to Lemma 2.3 $\{B^\varepsilon(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion under the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon := R^\varepsilon(T)\mathbb{P}$, and since

$$\begin{aligned} \log R^\varepsilon(T) &= - \int_0^T \langle \sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r), dB(r) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr \\ &= - \int_0^T \langle \sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r), dB^\varepsilon(r) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{R^\varepsilon(T)-1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{R^\varepsilon(T)-1}{\varepsilon}\right) &\leq \mathbb{E}\left(2R^\varepsilon(T)\left(\frac{\log R^\varepsilon(T)}{\varepsilon}\right)^2\right) = 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}\left(\frac{\log R^\varepsilon(T)}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}\left(\int_0^T \langle \sigma^{-1}\Phi^\varepsilon(r), dB^\varepsilon(r) \rangle\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}\left(\int_0^T |\sigma^{-1}\Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr\right)^2 \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}|\sigma^{-1}\Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 dr + \frac{T}{\varepsilon^2}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}|\sigma^{-1}\Phi^\varepsilon(r)|^4 dr \\
&\leq c \int_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon}\|W(X_r^\varepsilon, Y_r^\varepsilon)\|_\infty^4 dr
\end{aligned}$$

holds for some constant $c > 0$. As explained in the proof of Lemma 2.3 the distribution of $(X_s^\varepsilon, Y_s^\varepsilon)_{s \in [0, T]}$ under \mathbb{Q}_ε coincides with that of the segment process of the solution to (1.1) with $(X_0, Y_0) = \xi + \varepsilon h$, the first assertion follows by Lemma 2.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since Lemma 2.3, together with the Girsanov theorem, implies that $\{B^\varepsilon(s)\}_{s \in [0, T]}$ is a Brownian motion with respect to $\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon := R^\varepsilon(T)\mathbb{P}$, by (2.4) and $(X_T, Y_T) = (X_T^\varepsilon, Y_T^\varepsilon)$ we obtain

$$\boxed{\text{y1}} \quad (2.9) \quad P_T f(\xi + \varepsilon h) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_\varepsilon} f(X_T^\varepsilon, Y_T^\varepsilon) = \mathbb{E}\{R^\varepsilon(T) f(X_T, Y_T)\}.$$

Thus,

$$P_T f(\xi + \varepsilon h) - P_T f(\xi) = \mathbb{E} R^\varepsilon(T) f(X_T, Y_T) - \mathbb{E} f(X_T, Y_T) = \mathbb{E}[(R^\varepsilon(T) - 1) f(X_T, Y_T)].$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.4 and using the dominated convergence theorem, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_h P_T f(\xi, \eta) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_T f(\xi + \varepsilon h) - P_T f(\xi)}{\varepsilon} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(R^\varepsilon(T) - 1) f(X_T, Y_T)]}{\varepsilon} \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left\{f(X_T, Y_T) \int_0^T \langle N(s), (\sigma^*)^{-1} dB(s) \rangle\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Corollary 1.2. It suffices to verify (1.3) for the specific v and α . Since when $m = 0$ we have $h_1 = M = 0$ so that (1.3) trivially holds, we only consider $m \geq 1$. In this case, (1.3) is satisfied since according to the definition of $\phi(s)$ and $\alpha(s)$ we have for $t \geq T - r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t e^{-sA} M \phi(s) ds &= \int_0^{T-r_0} e^{-sA} M \phi(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^{T-r_0} v(s) e^{-sA} M h_2(0) ds - Q_{T-r_0} Q_{T-r_0}^{-1} \left(h_1(0) + \int_0^{T-r_0} v(s) e^{-sA} M h_2(0) ds \right) \\
&= -h_1(0).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

3 Proofs of Corollary 1.3 and Theorem 1.5

To prove the entropy-gradient estimates in Corollary (2) and (3), we need the following simple lemma which seems new and might be interesting by itself.

L3.1 **Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\ell(t)$ be a non-negative continuous semi-martingale and let $\mathcal{M}(t)$ be a continuous martingale with $\mathcal{M}(0) = 0$ such that*

$$d\ell(t) \leq d\mathcal{M}(t) + c\bar{\ell}_t dt,$$

where $c \geq 0$ is a constant and $\bar{\ell}_t := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \ell(s)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{\ell}_t dt \right] \leq e^{\varepsilon \ell(0) + 1} (\mathbb{E} e^{2\varepsilon^2 \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle (T)})^{1/2}, \quad T, \varepsilon \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathcal{M}(s)$. We have

$$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t + c \int_0^t \bar{\ell}_s ds \geq \bar{\ell}_t - \ell(0).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ell_T}{e^{1+cT}} - \ell(0) &\leq \frac{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_T + c \int_0^T \bar{\ell}_t dt}{e^{1+cT}} - (1 - e^{-(1+cT)})\ell(0) \\ &= \int_0^T d \left\{ e^{-(c+T^{-1})t} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t + c \int_0^t \bar{\ell}_s ds \right) \right\} - (1 - e^{-(1+cT)})\ell(0) \\ &= \int_0^T e^{-(T^{-1}+c)t} d\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t + \int_0^T e^{-(c+T^{-1})t} \left\{ c\bar{\ell}_t - (T^{-1} + c) \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t + c \int_0^t \bar{\ell}_s ds \right) \right\} dt \\ &\quad - (1 - e^{-(1+cT)})\ell(0) \\ &\leq \bar{\mathcal{M}}_T + \int_0^T e^{-(c+T^{-1})t} \left\{ c\bar{\ell}_t - (T^{-1} + c)(\bar{\ell}_t - \ell(0)) \right\} dt - (1 - e^{-(1+cT)})\ell(0) \\ &\leq \bar{\mathcal{M}}_T - \frac{1}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{\ell}_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\varepsilon \bar{\mathcal{M}}_T} \leq \mathbb{E} e^{1+\varepsilon \mathcal{M}(T)} \leq e (\mathbb{E} e^{2\varepsilon^2 \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle (T)})^{1/2},$$

we complete the proof. \square

C3.1 **Corollary 3.2.** *Assume (A) and let $|\nabla^{(2)} W|^2 \leq \delta W$ hold for some constant $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} \int_0^T \|W(X_t, Y_t)\|_\infty dt \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[2 + \frac{W(\xi(0))}{\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T e^{1+cT}} + \frac{r_0 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} \right], \quad T > r_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (A) and the Itô formula, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$dW(X, Y)(s) \leq \langle \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s), \sigma dB(s) \rangle + c \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty ds.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{M}(t) := \int_0^t \langle \nabla^{(2)} W(X, Y)(s), \sigma dB(s) \rangle, \quad l(t) := W(X, Y)(t),$$

and let $\varepsilon = (2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T e^{1+cT})^{-1}$ such that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} = 2\|\sigma\|^2 \varepsilon^2.$$

Then by Lemma 3.1 and $|\nabla^{(2)} W|^2 \leq \delta W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt \right] &\leq e^{\varepsilon l(0)+1} (\mathbb{E}^\xi e^{2\varepsilon^2 \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle(T)})^{1/2} \\ &\leq e^{1+\varepsilon l(0)} \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi e^{2\varepsilon^2 \|\sigma\|^2 \delta \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt} \right)^{1/2} = e^{1+\varepsilon l(0)} \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using stopping times as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we may assume that

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt \right] < \infty$$

so that

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt \right] \leq e^{2+2\varepsilon l(0)}.$$

This completes the proof by noting that

$$\frac{1}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} \int_0^T \|W(X_t, Y_t)\|_\infty dt \leq \frac{r_0 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{T e^{1+cT}} \int_0^T \bar{l}_t dt.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Let v and α be given in Corollary 1.2. By the semigroup property and the Jensen inequality, we will only consider $T - r_0 \in (0, 1]$.

(1) By (1.5) and the definitions of α and v , there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|v'(s)h_2(0) + \alpha'(s)| \leq C 1_{[0, T-r_0]}(s) |h(0)| \left(\frac{1}{T-r_0} + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2(k+1)}} \right), \quad s \in [0, T],$$

$$\boxed{\text{NNO}} \quad (3.1) \quad |\Theta(s)| \leq C |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right), \quad s \in [0, T],$$

$$\|\Theta_s\|_\infty \leq C \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Therefore, it follows from (A3) and (A4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |N(s)| &\leq C 1_{[0, T-r_0]}(s) |h(0)| \left(\frac{1}{T-r_0} + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2(k+1)}} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^l \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$. Combining this with Theorem 1.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^T |N(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left\{ |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}^\xi \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} ds \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (1) since due to Lemma 2.1 one has

$$\mathbb{E}^\xi \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} \leq 3 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty^{2l} e^{Cs}, \quad s \in [0, T]$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

(2) By Theorem 1.1 and the Young inequality (cf. [2, Lemma 2.4]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f|(\xi) &\leq r \{ P_T f \log f - (P_T f) \log P_T f \}(\xi) \\ &\quad + r P_T f(\xi) \log \mathbb{E}^\xi e^{\frac{1}{r} \int_0^T \langle N(s), (\sigma^*)^{-1} dB(s) \rangle}, \quad r > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Next, it follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{1}{r} \int_0^T \langle N(s), (\sigma^*)^{-1} dB(s) \rangle \right] \right)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{2 \|\sigma^{-1}\|^2}{r^2} \int_0^T |N(s)|^2 ds \right] \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{C_1 |h(0)|^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{T-r_0} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{(T-r_0)^{4k+3}} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{C_1}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T-r_0)^{4k+2}} \right) \int_0^T \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} ds \right], \quad T \in (r_0, 1+r_0] \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

holds for some constant $C_1 \in (0, \infty)$. Since $2l \in [0, 1)$ and $T \leq 1+r_0$, there exists a constant $C_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\beta \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} \leq \frac{(\frac{\|h\|_\infty^2}{r^2} \wedge 1) \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty}{2 \|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} + C_2 \beta^{\frac{1}{1-2l}} \left(\frac{\|h\|_\infty^2}{r^2} \wedge 1 \right)^{-\frac{2l}{1-2l}}, \quad \beta > 0.$$

Taking

$$\beta = \frac{C_1}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right),$$

and applying Corollary 3.2, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\beta \int_0^T \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} ds \right] &\leq \exp \left[C_2 \beta^{\frac{1}{1-2l}} \left(\frac{\|h\|_\infty^2}{r^2} \wedge 1 \right)^{-\frac{2l}{1-2l}} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} \int_0^T \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty ds \right] \right)^{\frac{\|h\|_\infty^2}{r^2} \wedge 1} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{C_3}{r^2} \left\{ \|h\|_\infty^2 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty + \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-2l}} \left(\frac{r^2}{\|h\|_\infty^2} \vee 1 \right)^{\frac{2l}{1-2l}} \right\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_3 \in (0, \infty)$ and all $T \in (r_0, 1+r_0]$. Therefore, the desired entropy-gradient estimate follows by combining this with (3.3) and (3.4).

(3) Let $C' > 0$ be such that $r \geq C' \left(\|h\|_\infty + \frac{\|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1}} \right)$ implies

$$\frac{C_1}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}},$$

so that by Corollary 3.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{C_1}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right) \int_0^T \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty^{2l} ds \right] \\ \leq \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{1}{2\|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}} \int_0^T \|W(X_s, Y_s)\|_\infty ds \right] \right)^{\frac{2C_1 \|\sigma\|^2 \delta T^2 e^{2+2cT}}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right)} \\ \leq \exp \left[\frac{C \|W(\xi)\|_\infty}{r^2} \left(\|h\|_\infty^2 + \frac{\|M\|^2 |h(0)|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4k+2}} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

holds for some constant $C > 0$. Then proof is finished by combining this with (3.3) and (3.4). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Again, we only prove for $T \in (r_0, 1+r_0]$. Applying (2.9) to $\varepsilon = 1$ and using $\log f$ to replace f , we obtain

$$\boxed{\text{W0}} \quad (3.5) \quad P_T \log f(\xi + h) = \mathbb{E}\{R^1(T) \log f(X_T, Y_T)\} \leq \log P_T f(\xi) + \mathbb{E}(R^1 \log R^1)(T).$$

Next, taking $\varepsilon = 1$ in (2.6) and letting $n \uparrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$\boxed{\text{W1}} \quad (3.6) \quad \mathbb{E}(R^1 \log R^1)(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_1} \int_0^T |\sigma^{-1} \Phi^1(r)|^2 dr.$$

By (A3'), (A4'), (3.1) and the definition of Φ^1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma^{-1}\Phi^1(s)|^2 \leq & C_1 \left\{ \|W(X_s^1, Y_s^1)\|_\infty^{2l} + U^2 \left(C_1 \|h\|_\infty + \frac{C_1 \|M\| \cdot |h(0)|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \right\} \|h\|_\infty^2 \\ & + C_1 |h(0)|^2 \left(\frac{1}{(T - r_0)^2} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{(T - r_0)^{4(k+1)}} \right) 1_{[0, T-r_0]}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. Then the proof is completed by combining this with (3.5), (3.6) and Lemma 2.1 (note that $(X^1(s), Y^1(s))$ under \mathbb{Q}_1 solves the same equation as (X_s, Y_s) under \mathbb{P}). \square

4 Discrete Time Delay Case and Examples

In this section we first present a simple example to illustrate our main results presented in Section 1, then relax assumption **(A)** for the discrete time delay case in order to cover some highly non-linear examples.

Example 4.1. For $\alpha \in C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R})$, consider functional SDE on \mathbb{R}^2

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = -\{X(t) + Y(t)\}dt \\ dY(t) = dB(t) + \left\{ -\varepsilon Y^3(t) + Y(t - r_0) + \int_{-r_0}^0 \alpha(\theta) X(t + \theta) d\theta \right\} dt \end{cases}$$

with initial data $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are constants. For $z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $W(x, y) = 1 + |x|^2 + |y|^2$ and set $Z(z) = -y^3$ and $b(\xi) = \int_{-r_0}^0 \alpha(\theta) \xi_1(\theta) d\theta + \xi_2(-r_0)$. By a straightforward computation one has for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$LW(x, y) = 1 - 2x(x + y) - 2\varepsilon y^{2n} \leq 3W(x, y)$$

and for $\xi \in C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b(\xi), \nabla^{(2)} W(\xi(0)) \rangle & \leq 2 \left| \int_{-r_0}^0 \alpha(\theta) \xi_1(\theta) d\theta + \xi_2(-r_0) \right| |\xi_2(0)| \\ & \leq 2 \left(1 + \int_{-r_0}^0 |\alpha(\theta)| d\theta \right) \|\xi\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then conditions (A1) and (A2) hold. Next, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $z = (x, y)$ and $z' = (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$|Z(z) - Z(z')| = \varepsilon |y^3 - y'^3| \leq c |y - y'| (|y|^2 + |y - y'|^2).$$

Finally, for $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2), \xi' = (\xi'_1, \xi'_2) \in C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$|b(\xi) - b(\xi')| \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\int_{-r_0}^0 |\alpha(\theta)| d\theta \vee 1 \right) \|\xi - \xi'\|_\infty.$$

So, (A3) holds for $l = 1$ whenever $|y - y'| \leq 1$ and (A4) holds for any $l \geq 0$. Moreover, (A3') and (A4') hold for $U(|z|) = |z|^2, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.3 hold.

To derive the entropy-gradient estimate and the Harnack inequality as in Corollary 1.4, we need to weaken the assumption **(A)**. To this end, we consider a simpler setting where the delay is time discrete. Consider

$$\boxed{\text{E20}} \quad (4.2) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = \{AX(t) + MY(t)\}dt, \\ dY(t) = Z(X(t), Y(t)) + \tilde{b}(X(t - r_0), Y(t - r_0))dt + \sigma dB(t), \end{cases}$$

with initial data $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$, where $Z, \tilde{b} : \mathbb{R}^{m+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$. If we define $b(\xi) = \tilde{b}(\xi(-r_0))$ for $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathcal{C}$, then equation (4.2) can be written as equation (1.1). For $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$, define the diffusion operator associated with (4.2) by

$$\mathcal{L}W(x, y; x', y') = LW(x, y) + \langle \tilde{b}(x', y'), \nabla^{(2)}W(x, y) \rangle.$$

T4.2 Theorem 4.2. *Assume that there exist constants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ with $\beta \geq \gamma$, functions $W \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$ with $W \geq 1$ and $U \in C(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that for $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$*

$$\boxed{\text{E21}} \quad (4.3) \quad \mathcal{L}W(x, y; x', y') \leq \alpha\{W(x, y) + W(x', y')\} - \beta U(x, y) + \gamma U(x', y').$$

Assume further that there exists $\nu > 0$ such that for $z = (x, y), z' = (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$ with $|z - z'| \leq 1$

$$\boxed{\text{E25}} \quad (4.4) \quad |Z(z) - Z(z')|^2 \vee |\tilde{b}(z) - \tilde{b}(z')|^2 \leq \nu |z - z'|^2 W(z').$$

Then for $\delta := (\alpha r_0 + 1)\|W(\xi)\|_\infty + \gamma r_0\|U(\xi)\|_\infty$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\boxed{\text{E24}} \quad (4.5) \quad \mathbb{E}^\xi W(X(t), Y(t)) \leq \delta e^{2\alpha t},$$

and

$$\boxed{\text{E23}} \quad (4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left\{ |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1} \wedge 1} \right) + r_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|W(\xi)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_\infty \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |h(0)| \sqrt{\delta(T \wedge (1 + r_0))} \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T - r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $T > r_0, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$, where $C > 0$ is some constant. If moreover there exist constants $K, \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3 \geq \lambda_4$, functions $\tilde{W} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{m+d})$ with $\tilde{W} \geq 1$ and $\tilde{U} \in C(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ such that for $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$

$$\boxed{\text{E28}} \quad (4.7) \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}\tilde{W}(x, y; x', y')}{\tilde{W}(x, y)} \leq K - \lambda_1 W(x, y) + \lambda_2 W(x', y') - \lambda_3 \tilde{U}(x, y) + \lambda_4 \tilde{U}(x', y'),$$

then there exist constants $\delta_0, C > 0$ such that for $r \geq \delta_0/(T - r_0)^{2k+1}, \xi, h \in \mathcal{C}$ and positive $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C})$

$$\boxed{\text{E26}} \quad (4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla_h P_T f|(\xi) &\leq r \{ P_T f \log f - (P_T f) \log P_T f \}(\xi) \\ &+ \frac{C P_T f}{2r} \left\{ |h(0)|^2 \left(\frac{1}{(T - r_0) \wedge 1} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+3}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1 + \|M\|^2)|h(0)|^2}{\{(T - r_0) \wedge 1\}^{4k+2}} \left(\lambda_2 r_0 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty + \lambda_4 r_0 \|\tilde{U}(\xi)\|_\infty + KT + \log \tilde{W}(\xi(0)) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Itô formula one has for any $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}^\xi W(X(t), Y(t)) &\leq W(\xi(0)) + \alpha \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t \{W(X(s), Y(s)) + W(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0))\} ds \\
&\quad - \beta \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t U(X(s), Y(s)) ds + \gamma \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t U(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0)) ds \\
&\leq W(\xi(0)) + \alpha \int_{-r_0}^0 W(X(s), Y(s)) ds + \gamma \int_{-r_0}^0 U(X(s), Y(s)) ds \\
&\quad + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t W(X(s), Y(s)) ds \\
&\leq \delta + 2\alpha \mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^t W(X(s), Y(s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.5) follows from the Gronwall inequality.

By Theorem 1.1, for $T - r_0 \in (0, 1]$ and some $C > 0$ we can deduce that

$$|\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| \leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^T |N(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2},$$

where for $s \in [0, T]$

$$N(s) := (\nabla_{\Theta(s)} Z)(X(s), Y(s)) + (\nabla_{\Theta(s-r_0)} \tilde{b})(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0)) - v'(s)h_2(0) - \alpha'(s).$$

Recalling the first two inequalities in (3.1) and combining (4.4) yields that for some $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_h P_T f(\xi)| &\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left\{ \left(\int_0^T |v'(s)h_2(0) + \alpha'(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^T |\Theta(s)|^2 W(X(s), Y(s)) ds \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \left. \left(\mathbb{E}^\xi \int_0^T |\Theta(s-r_0)|^2 W(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0)) ds \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\leq C \sqrt{P_T f^2(\xi)} \left\{ |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) + r_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|W(\xi)\|_\infty^{\frac{1}{2}} \|h\|_\infty \right. \\
&\quad + \left. |h(0)| \left(1 + \frac{\|M\|}{(T-r_0)^{2k+1}} \right) \left(\int_0^T \mathbb{E}^\xi W(X(s), Y(s)) ds \right)^{1/2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.5), leads to (4.6).

Due to (3.3) and (3.4) we can deduce that there exists $C > 0$ such that for arbitrary $r > 0$ and $T - r_0 \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla_h P_T f|(\xi) &\leq r \{ P_T f \log f - (P_T f) \log P_T f \}(\xi) \\
&\quad + \frac{r P_T f(\xi)}{2} \left\{ \frac{C|h(0)|^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{T-r_0} + \frac{\|M\|^2}{(T-r_0)^{4k+3}} \right) + \frac{C\|h\|_\infty^2 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty r_0}{r^2} \right. \\
&\quad + \left. \log \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\frac{C(1 + \|M\|^2)|h(0)|^2}{r^2(T-r_0)^{4k+2}} \int_0^T W(X(s), Y(s)) ds \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

E30

Moreover, since for $s \in [0, T]$

$$\tilde{W}(X(s), Y(s)) \exp \left(- \int_0^s \frac{\mathcal{L}\tilde{W}(X(r), Y(r), X(r-r_0), Y(r-r_0))}{\tilde{W}(X(r), Y(r))} dr \right)$$

is a local martingale by the Itô formula, in addition to $\tilde{W} \geq 1$, we obtain from (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \int_0^T W(X(s), Y(s)) ds - \lambda_2 r_0 \|W(\xi)\|_\infty \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[\int_0^T \left(\lambda_1 W(X(s), Y(s)) - \lambda_2 W(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0)) \right) ds \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^\xi \exp \left[KT - \int_0^T \frac{\mathcal{L}\tilde{W}(X(s), Y(s); X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0))}{\tilde{W}(X(s), Y(s))} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \lambda_3 \int_0^T \tilde{U}(X(s), Y(s)) ds + \lambda_4 \int_0^T \tilde{U}(X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0)) ds \right] \\ & \leq \exp(\lambda_4 r_0 \|\tilde{U}(\xi)\|_\infty + KT) \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{E}^\xi \left[\tilde{W}(X(T), Y(T)) \exp \left(- \int_0^T \frac{\mathcal{L}\tilde{W}(X(s), Y(s); X(s-r_0), Y(s-r_0))}{\tilde{W}(X(s), Y(s))} ds \right) \right] \\ & \leq \exp(\lambda_4 r_0 \|\tilde{U}(\xi)\|_\infty + KT) \tilde{W}(\xi(0)). \end{aligned} \tag{E29} \tag{4.10}$$

Combining (4.9) and (4.10), together with the Hölder inequality, yields (4.8). \square

The next example shows that Theorem 4.2 applies to the equation (4.2) with a highly non-linear drift.

Ex4.2 **Example 4.3.** Consider delay SDE on \mathbb{R}^2

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = -\{X(t) + Y(t)\}dt \\ dY(t) = dB(t) + \left\{ -Y^3(t) + \frac{1}{4}Y^3(t-r_0) + \frac{1}{2}X(t) - Y(t) \right\}dt \end{cases}$$

with initial data $\xi \in C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{R}^2)$. In this example for $z = (x, y), z' = (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$ let $Z(z) = \frac{1}{2}x - y - y^3$ and $b(z') = \frac{1}{4}y'^3$. For $W(x, y) = 1 + x^2 + y^4$ it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}W(x, y; x', y') &= -2x(x+y) + 4y^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}x - y - y^3 + \frac{1}{4}y'^3 \right) \\ &\leq -x^2 + y^2 - 4y^4 - 4y^6 + y^3y'^3 + 2y^3x \\ &\leq y^2 - 4y^4 - \frac{5}{2}y^6 + \frac{1}{2}y'^6. \end{aligned}$$

Then (4.3) holds for $\beta = \frac{5}{2}, \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and $U(x, y) = y^6$. Moreover for $z = (x, y), z' = (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$ there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|Z(z) - Z(z')|^2 \vee |b(z) - b(z')|^2 \leq c|z - z'|^2(|y - y'|^4 + |y'|^4).$$

Thus condition (4.4) holds, Therefore, by Theorem 4.2 we obtain (4.6).

To derive (4.8), we take $w(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(x^2 + y^4) + \frac{1}{10}xy$ and set $\tilde{W}(x, y) = \exp(w(x, y) - \inf w)$. Compute for $(x, y, x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}\tilde{W}}{\tilde{W}}(x, y, x', y') &= \mathcal{L} \log \tilde{W}(x, y) + \frac{1}{2} |\partial_y \log \tilde{W}|^2(x, y) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{10}y\right)(x + y) + \left(y^3 + \frac{1}{10}x\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}x - y - y^3 + \frac{1}{4}y'^3\right) + \frac{3}{2}y^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(y^3 + \frac{1}{10}x\right)^2 \\ &\leq 0.5((0.35)^2/\epsilon + 1.4)^2 - (0.2325 - \epsilon)x^2 - 0.5y^4 - 0.175y^6 + 0.1375y'^6, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is some constant such that $0.2325 - \epsilon > 0$. Then condition (4.7) holds. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2 we obtain (4.8), which implies the Harnack inequality as in Corollary 1.4 according to [5, Proposition 4.1].

References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below*, Bull. Sci. Math. 130(2006), 223–233.
- [2] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, *Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119(2009), 3653–3670.
- [3] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Singular stochastic equations on Hilbert spaces: Harnack inequalities for their transition semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 992–1017.
- [4] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. v. Renesse, M. Scheutzow, *Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with bounded memory*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 14 (2009), 560–565.
- [5] A. Guillin, F.-Y. Wang, *Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations : Bismut formula, gradient estimate and Harnack inequality*, arXiv: 1103.2817v2.
- [6] W. Liu, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic evolution equations with monotone drifts*, J. Evol. Equ. 9 (2009), 747–770.
- [7] W. Liu, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast diffusion equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008), 651–662.
- [8] S.-X. Ouyang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for multivalued stochastic evolution equations*, to appear in Inf. Dimen. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics.
- [9] S.-X. Ouyang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities and applications for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroups with jump*, to appear in Potential Anal.

- [10] M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces and its consequences*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics 13(2010), 27–37.
- [11] T. Seidman, *How violent are fast controls?* Mathematics of Control Signals Systems, 1(1988), 89-95.
- [12] M. K. R. Scheutzow, M. K. von Renesse, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of stochastic functional differential equations*, Random Oper. Stoch. Equ. 18 (2010), 267-284
- [13] F.-Y. Wang, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 109(1997), 417–424.
- [14] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations*, Ann. Probab. 35(2007), 1333–1350.
- [15] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequalities on manifolds with boundary and applications*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 94(2010), 304–321.
- [16] F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack inequality for SDE with multiplicative noise and extension to Neumann semigroup on non-convex manifolds*, to appear in Ann. Probab. Available online arXiv:0911.1644.
- [17] F.-Y. Wang, *Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for jump processes*, arXiv:1104.5531.
- [18] F.-Y. Wang, J.-L. Wu and L. Xu, *Log-Harnack inequality for stochastic Burgers equations and applications*, to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl. arXiv:1009.5948v1.
- [19] F.-Y. Wang, C. Yuan, *Harnack inequalities for functional SDEs with multiplicative noise and applications*, to appear in Stoch. Proc. Appl. arXiv:1012.5688.
- [20] F.-Y. Wang, L. Xu, *Derivative formula and applications for hyperdissipative stochastic Navier-Stokes/Burgers equations*, to appear in Inf. Dim. Quant. Probab. Relat. Topics arXiv:1009.1464.
- [21] F.-Y. Wang, X.-C. Zhang, *Derivative formulae and applications for degenerate diffusion semigroups*, arXiv1107.0096.
- [22] T.-S. Zhang, *White noise driven SPDEs with reflection: strong Feller properties and Harnack inequalities*, Potential Anal. 33 (2010),137–151.
- [23] X.-C. Zhang, *Stochastic flows and Bismut formulas for stochastic Hamiltonian systems*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 120(2010), 1929–1949.